Obesity Overtaken by Leanness as a Repeated Game: Social Networks and Indirect Reciprocity

C.F. Runge, E. Nelson, C.P. Runge, J. Levine

Appendix

Note 1

Assume $b_s - c \le 1$. The societal payoff to both players playing obesity is 2. The societal payoff to both players playing thinness is $2(b_s - c + b_o)$. The societal payoff to one player playing thinness and the other playing obesity is $b_s - c + b_o + 1$. Therefore, as long $b_s - c + b_o > 1$ then the greatest gain to society is form both players playing thinness. Given that $b_s - c \le 1$, it follows that $b_o > 0$.

Note 2: Equation 2

The first derivative of equation (2) with respect to w_{TFT} is,

$$\left[\left(b_{s}+b_{o}\left(n_{TFT|TFT}\right)-c\right)\left(1+\delta_{TFT}\right)\right]/\left(1+\delta_{TFT}-w_{TFT}\right)^{2},$$

which is always greater than 0 if $n_{TFT|TFT} \ge 2$ and undetermined if $n_{TFT|TFT} < 2$.

The first derivative of equation (2) with respect to δ_{TFT} is,

$$\left[w_{TFT}\left(c-b_{s}-b_{o}\left(n_{TFT|TFT}\right)\right)\right]/\left(1+\delta_{TFT}-w_{TFT}\right)^{2},$$

which is always less than 0 if $n_{TFT|TFT} \ge 2$ and undetermined if $n_{TFT|TFT} < 2$.

Note 3: Equation 4

The first derivative of equation (4) with respect to w is,

$$\left(\left(b_{o}\left(n_{\scriptscriptstyle TFT\mid TFT}\right)+1\right)\left(1+\delta_{\scriptscriptstyle ABO}\right)\right)/\left(1+\delta_{\scriptscriptstyle ABO}-w\right)^{2}$$
.

This derivative is always greater than 0.

Note 4: Equation 6

The first derivative of equation (6) with respect to w is,

$$(b_o(n_{TFT|TFT})+1)(1+\delta_{ABO})/(1+\delta_{ABO}-w)^2,$$

which is always greater than 0.

The first derivative of equation (6) with respect to δ_{ABO} is,

$$-w(b_o(n_{TFT|TFT})+1)/(1+\delta_{ABO}-w)^2,$$

which is always less than 0.

Note 5: Equation 8

The first derivative of equation (8) with respect to w_{ABO} is,

$$(b_o(n_{TFT|TFT})+1)(1+\delta_{ABO})/(1+\delta_{ABO}-w)^2,$$

which is always greater than 0.

The first derivative of equation (8) with respect to δ_{ABO} is,

$$-w_{ABO}(b_o(n_{TFT|TFT})+1)/(1+\delta_{ABO}-w)^2,$$

which is always less than 0.

Note 5A: Inequality 10

The derivative of the right hand side of inequality (10) with respect to w_{TFT} ,

$$-\frac{(1+\delta_{ABO})(1+\delta_{ABO}-w)(1+c-b_{s})}{[w_{TFT}(1+\delta_{ABO})+w(1+\delta_{TFT})]^{2}},$$

is less than 0. In other words, the right-hand side of inequality (10) decreases in w_{TFT} .

The derivative of the right hand side of inequality (10) with respect to δ_{TFT} ,

$$\frac{w_{TFT}[(1+\delta_{ABO})^2 - w]}{[w_{TFT}(1+\delta_{ABO}) + w(1+\delta_{TFT})]^2}$$

is greater than 0. In other words, the right-hand side of inequality (10) increases in δ_{TFT} .

Note 6

Let $w = w_{TFT} = w_{ABO}$. Therefore, inequality (9) becomes,

$$\frac{(b_s - c)(1 + \delta_{TFT}) + wb_o(n_{TFT|TFT})}{1 + \delta_{TFT} - w} > \frac{1 + \delta_{ABO} + wb_o(n_{TFT|TFT})}{1 + \delta_{ABO} - w}$$

Solving for $b_s - c$,

$$(b_s - c) > \frac{wb_o (n_{TFT|TFT})(\delta_{TFT} - \delta_{ABO}) + (1 + \delta_{ABO})(1 + \delta_{TFT} - w)}{(1 + \delta_{TFT})(1 + \delta_{ABO} - w)}$$

Assume $\delta_{TFT} = 0.07$, $\delta_{TFT} = 0.10$, and w = 0.8 then the above becomes,

$$(b_s - c) > \frac{0.8b_o (n_{TFT|TFT})(-0.03) + (1.1)(0.27)}{(1.1)(0.3)}$$

$$(b_s - c) > \frac{-0.024b_o(n_{TFT|TFT}) + 0.297}{0.33}$$

If $b_o(n_{TFT|TFT}) = 4$ then the above becomes,

$$(b_s - c) > \frac{-0.096 + 0.297}{0.33} = 0.61$$

Therefore, if $\delta_{TFT} = 0.07$, $\delta_{TFT} = 0.10$, w = 0.8, $b_o(n_{TFT|TFT}) = 4$, and $(b_s - c) > 0.61$ (which is possible because $b_s - c \le 1$) then V(TFT|TFT) > V(ABO|TFT).

Let $\delta = \delta_{TFT} = \delta_{ABO}$. Therefore, inequality (9) becomes,

$$\frac{(b_s - c)(1 + \delta) + w_{TFT}b_o(n_{TFT|TFT})}{1 + \delta - w_{TFT}} > \frac{1 + \delta + wb_o(n_{TFT|TFT})}{1 + \delta - w}$$

Solving for $b_s - c$,

$$(b_s - c) > \frac{1 + \delta + wb_o(n_{TFT|TFT}) - w_{TFT}(1 + b_o(n_{TFT|TFT}))}{1 + \delta - w}$$

Assume $\delta = 0.07$, $w_{TFT} = 0.8$, and w = 0.6, then the above becomes,

$$(b_s - c) > \frac{0.27 - 0.2b_o(n_{TFT|TFT})}{.47}$$

If $b_o(n_{TFT|TFT}) = 4$ then the above becomes,

$$(b_s - c) > \frac{0.27 - 0.8}{0.47} = -1.13$$

Therefore, if $\delta = 0.07$, $w_{TFT} = 0.8$, and w = 0.6, $b_o(n_{TFT|TFT}) = 4$, and $(b_s - c) > -1.13$ (which is possible because $b_s - c \le 1$) then V(TFT|TFT) > V(ABO|TFT).