

Fox News and Political Knowledge

Elizabeth Schroeder

Daniel F. Stone

Oregon State University

Bowdoin College

October 2014

- Media bias exists (ideological horizontal differentiation)
 - (Groseclose and Milyo, QJE, 2005; Gentzkow and Shapiro, Ecta, 2010)
- But is bias bad? Not necessarily
 - (Gentzkow, Shapiro and Stone, *Handbook of Media Economics* chapter in preparation)
- Empirically: Does bias decrease/increase knowledge?
 - Not much literature here (beyond correlations)
 - We contribute by studying Fox News.

- DellaVigna and Kaplan (QJE 2007)
 - Fox News led to 0.4-0.7% increase in voting Republican
 - Identified by gradual, quasi-random rollout.
 - Hopkins and Ladd (forthcoming), Martin and Yurukoglu (2014)
- Why? One potential channel - knowledge effects.

Does bias decrease/increase knowledge?

Theory is ambiguous.

Table 2: Mechanisms identified by theory literature by which partisan news outlets can affect voter knowledge

Mechanism	Effect	Representative Paper
1. Cross-checking: biased outlet reports novel information that complements other news	+	Mullainathan and Shleifer (2005)
2. Rational delegation: biased outlet acts as an optimal advisor for partisan consumers, who (rationally) would be unpersuaded to change action by neutral outlet	+	Chan and Suen (2008)
3. Market expansion: biased outlet reaches consumers who otherwise would get no news	+	Burke (2008)
4. Entertainment and belief-confirmation utility: consumers enjoy biased news despite knowing it is uninformative	-	Bernhardt, Krasa, Polborn (2008)
5. Irrational delegation: biased consumers falsely think biased outlet gives better advice than neutral outlet	-	Stone (2011)
6. Supply-side, monopoly market: outlet uses bias to attempt to manipulate audience	-	Anderson and McLaren (2012)
7. Supply-side, competitive market w/obfuscation: consumers unwittingly obtain biased news due to naivete/ignorance	-	DellaVigna and Kaplan (2007)

- Voters: Preferences for information (belief-changing) and political preference affirmation.
- News Outlet: Objective function includes voting influence and direct profits
 - Can report factual news or cheap talk report on valence of candidates
- Predictions:
 - Increase knowledge for policy issues that are favorable to Republicans
 - more so when beliefs would otherwise be inaccurate.
 - Decrease knowledge on issues favorable to Democrats.
 - All effects greatest for non-Democrats (most likely to watch)

Knowledge data: The National Annenberg Election Survey (NAES)

- Conducted each presidential election year, starting 2000
- In 2000, 58,373 interviews; in 2004, 81,422; in 2008, 57,967.
- Hopkins and Ladd (2012) use to study 2000 voting effects (HL)
- Demographic, political/ideological/attitude, media consumption (no Fox in 2000)
- Merge with: Census ZCTA (zip) level demographic data for 2000, 2010, linear interpolation for 04 and 08 (population, race, education, income, employment)

Each poll includes 20+ *interesting* questions on political (mostly campaign) issues with factual answers

- Who favors doubling the amount families can deduct from their income tax for each child they have, George W. Bush or Al Gore? (Bush)
- Who favors paying down the national debt the most, George W. Bush or Al Gore? (Gore)

Each poll includes 20+ *interesting* questions on political (mostly campaign) issues with factual answers

- Who favors doubling the amount families can deduct from their income tax for each child they have, George W. Bush or Al Gore? (Bush)
- Who favors paying down the national debt the most, George W. Bush or Al Gore? (Gore)
- John Kerry says that he would eliminate George W. Bush's tax cuts on those making how much money - over \$50,000 a year; over \$100,000 a year; over \$200,000 a year; or over \$500,000 a year? (\$200,000)
- Who favors the Medicare prescription drug law that was recently enacted - George W. Bush, John Kerry, both or neither? (Bush)

Each poll includes 20+ *interesting* questions on political (mostly campaign) issues with factual answers

- Who favors doubling the amount families can deduct from their income tax for each child they have, George W. Bush or Al Gore? (Bush)
- Who favors paying down the national debt the most, George W. Bush or Al Gore? (Gore)
- John Kerry says that he would eliminate George W. Bush's tax cuts on those making how much money - over \$50,000 a year; over \$100,000 a year; over \$200,000 a year; or over \$500,000 a year? (\$200,000)
- Who favors the Medicare prescription drug law that was recently enacted - George W. Bush, John Kerry, both or neither? (Bush)
- Which candidate(s) is proposing a health care reform plan that mandates that children have health insurance: John McCain, Barack Obama, both, or neither? (Obama)
- Which candidate(s) would provide individuals \$2,500 or families \$5,000 to help them buy their own health insurance: John McCain, Barack Obama, both, or neither? (McCain)

- Cable is local natural monopoly. Highly decentralized
- Fox News began in October, 1996; main (stated) goal to grow subscribers as quickly as possible (Collins, 2004)
- DK data: Fox availability in 2000 and 2003 for around 20,000 towns, 33 states
 - Also: number channels in 2000; cable system in 2000, 2003
- Collected from annual industry factbooks
- We add FNC access data from factbooks and number of channels for 2004 and 2008
- Updating issue
 - We look at 4 year intervals
 - Dynamic effects
 - Voting effects not too different

Summary stats

	2000	2004	2008
Fox	0.238	0.774	0.957
Knowledge Qs	13.44	9.62	9.15
% Correct	0.461	0.542	0.533
n	31,717	25,856	27,720

- Investigate power by checking treatment effects we know should occur
 - 1) Voting Repub (2000 especially);
 - 2) Watching Fox (questions in 04 and 08 only)
 - Verify that we can detect effects. (Voting 2% in 2000, 1% in pooled data)

- Investigate power by checking treatment effects we know should occur
 - 1) Voting Repub (2000 especially);
 - 2) Watching Fox (questions in 04 and 08 only)
 - Verify that we can detect effects. (Voting 2% in 2000, 1% in pooled data)
- Exogeneity of Fox access?
 - DK: yes (in 2000), with congressional district or county FEs (and other controls)
 - HL: yes (in 2000), with state FEs (+ controls)
 - We verify holds in all years, and check relation to *education*
 - $Fox_i = \delta^V V_i + \delta^E E_i + \beta X_i + \epsilon_i$
 - Some evidence of small effect of education on FNC access in 2000 and 2004.

$$I_{ij} = \delta_j Fox_i + X_i \beta_j + \alpha_j + \epsilon_{ij}.$$

I_{ij} is dummy, equal to one if i answers question j correctly

X_i = respondent-specific controls; α_j is question-specific constant, captures question's difficulty

$$I_{ij} = \delta_j F_{0X_i} + X_i \beta_j + \alpha_j + \epsilon_{ij}.$$

I_{ij} is dummy, equal to one if i answers question j correctly

X_i = respondent-specific controls; α_j is question-specific constant, captures question's difficulty

We observe: $y_{ij} = d_{ij} * I_{ij}$;

with d_{ij} a dummy equal to one if respondent i asked question j ; and $n_i = \sum_j d_{ij}$

$$I_{ij} = \delta_j F\alpha x_i + X_i \beta_j + \alpha_j + \epsilon_{ij}.$$

I_{ij} is dummy, equal to one if i answers question j correctly

X_i = respondent-specific controls; α_j is question-specific constant, captures question's difficulty

We observe: $y_{ij} = d_{ij} * I_{ij}$;

with d_{ij} a dummy equal to one if respondent i asked question j ; and $n_i = \sum_j d_{ij}$

So estimate:

$$\bar{y}_i = \frac{1}{n_i} \sum_j d_{ij} I_{ij} = \tilde{\delta} F\alpha x_i + X_i \tilde{\beta} + \frac{1}{n_i} \sum_j d_{ij} \alpha_j + \tilde{\epsilon}_i.$$

Model prediction: Positive/larger effects on issues more favorable to Rs.

- Exploit survey questions on respondents' own policy preferences.
 - Ex: "Do you personally favor or oppose requiring a license for a person to buy a handgun?"
- Code as pro-R if over half of likely viewers (non-Democrats) with a preference prefer R position or are opposed to D position.

Model prediction: Positive/larger effects on issues more favorable to Rs.

- Exploit survey questions on respondents' own policy preferences.
 - Ex: "Do you personally favor or oppose requiring a license for a person to buy a handgun?"
- Code as pro-R if over half of likely viewers (non-Democrats) with a preference prefer R position or are opposed to D position.

Effect should be proportional to percentage of people who are "persuadable" or "treatable".

- For pro-R questions, $\widehat{treatable} = 1 - \bar{y}_j^i$, the fraction of non-Democrats with $Fox=0$ who got question j wrong.
- For anti-R questions, $\widehat{treatable} = \bar{y}_j^i$,

Model prediction: Heterogeneous effects due to choice of issues to cover.

- Examine transcripts from FNC programs.
 - The *O'Reilly Factor* and *Special Report with Brit Hume*
 - Randomly chosen transcripts
- Use keywords to identify potentially relevant content.
- Use workers from Mechanical Turk and our own judgment to code content: misleading, irrelevant, informative.
- *TInfo*: mean 0.47, max 4, min -1.

Results: All knowledge questions

Dependent variable: % questions answered correctly

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
2000 only						
<i>Fox_i</i>	-0.343 (0.383)	-0.096 (0.549)	-0.256 (0.450)	-0.449 (0.741)	-2.293** (1.153)	0.957 (2.121)
Adjusted R^2	0.253	0.261	0.248	0.258	0.245	0.259
N	29912	29912	20659	20659	14759	14759
Y-mean	47.297	47.297	46.420	46.420	46.570	46.570
2004 only						
<i>Fox_i</i>	1.050** (0.480)	0.893 (0.759)	1.241** (0.566)	1.149 (0.888)	1.487*** (0.563)	1.803* (0.979)
Adjusted R^2	0.354	0.363	0.354	0.367	0.353	0.365
N	22929	22929	15656	15656	11584	11584
Y-mean	53.099	53.099	52.473	52.473	51.997	51.997
2000-04-08						
<i>Fox_i</i>	0.068 (0.273)	-0.166 (0.310)	0.123 (0.313)	0.113 (0.363)	0.373 (0.370)	0.446 (0.508)
Adjusted R^2	0.301	0.305	0.299	0.303	0.297	0.305
N	79285	79285	53512	53512	38318	38318
Y-mean	50.742	50.742	50.127	50.127	49.936	49.936
State FE	✓		✓		✓	
County FE		✓		✓		✓
Non-Democrat			✓	✓		
Low Channels					✓	✓

Results: "persuasion rates"

	Pro-Repub Questions ($\widehat{treatable}_i = (1 - \bar{y}_i^j)$)				Anti-Repub Questions ($\widehat{treatable}_i = \bar{y}_i^j$)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
2000 only								
$Fox_i \times \widehat{treatable}_i$	4.880 (3.059)	1.018 (3.924)	-8.870 (9.892)	4.237 (3.628)	-0.466 (1.383)	-0.373 (1.876)	2.227 (5.240)	1.010 (1.735)
Adjusted R^2	0.188	0.197	0.190	0.173	0.220	0.210	0.220	0.205
N	23880	16580	11670	16627	25236	17474	12308	17674
Y-mean	64.758	66.664	63.942	72.258	46.107	44.749	45.323	50.476
2004 only								
$Fox_i \times \widehat{treatable}_i$	7.208** (3.377)	7.320* (3.931)	11.818*** (4.297)	8.555** (4.067)	3.212 (2.600)	3.889 (3.190)	6.623** (3.272)	-0.688 (2.946)
Adjusted R^2	0.211	0.215	0.206	0.185	0.216	0.199	0.217	0.207
N	14141	9597	7081	10509	14141	9597	7081	10509
Y-mean	55.362	56.028	54.270	61.285	54.491	51.537	52.952	58.718
2000-04-08								
$Fox_i \times \widehat{treatable}_i$	2.175 (1.456)	3.166* (1.669)	3.169* (1.895)	3.199* (1.708)	-0.719 (0.862)	0.026 (1.119)	0.854 (1.546)	-0.583 (0.948)
Adjusted R^2	0.213	0.217	0.209	0.213	0.255	0.244	0.257	0.247
N	52667	35682	25376	39830	52346	35485	25236	39407
Y-mean	56.145	57.205	55.543	60.831	48.894	47.180	47.920	53.495
Non-Democrat		✓				✓		
Low Channels			✓				✓	
Follow News				✓				✓

Results: Transcript informativeness

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
2000 only								
<i>Fox_i</i>	-1.475** (0.698)	-1.207 (0.827)	-2.347*** (0.869)	-2.637** (1.090)	1.004 (3.640)	7.097 (4.880)	-1.553* (0.803)	-1.298 (0.991)
<i>Fox_i × TInfo_i</i>	2.518* (1.406)	2.441* (1.440)	4.667*** (1.723)	4.853*** (1.794)	-7.505 (8.195)	-13.774 (9.565)	3.137** (1.541)	3.614** (1.602)
Adjusted <i>R</i> ²	0.253	0.261	0.248	0.258	0.245	0.259	0.235	0.248
N	29912	29912	20659	20659	14759	14759	20831	20831
2004 only								
<i>Fox_i</i>	0.828 (0.772)	0.504 (1.035)	0.916 (0.874)	0.711 (1.222)	1.324 (0.914)	1.454 (1.277)	1.023 (0.878)	0.526 (1.210)
<i>Fox_i × TInfo_i</i>	0.249 (0.671)	0.404 (0.735)	0.363 (0.769)	0.454 (0.878)	0.190 (0.815)	0.389 (0.917)	-0.365 (0.762)	-0.166 (0.857)
Adjusted <i>R</i> ²	0.354	0.363	0.354	0.367	0.353	0.365	0.345	0.354
N	22929	22929	15656	15656	11584	11584	15506	15506
2000-04-08								
<i>Fox_i</i>	-0.910** (0.418)	-1.155*** (0.437)	-1.308** (0.511)	-1.254** (0.566)	-0.895 (0.739)	-0.533 (0.884)	-0.528 (0.449)	-0.781 (0.485)
<i>Fox_i × TInfo_i</i>	1.649*** (0.569)	1.624*** (0.575)	2.409*** (0.697)	2.247*** (0.708)	1.720* (0.880)	1.284 (0.945)	1.098* (0.602)	1.019* (0.599)
Adjusted <i>R</i> ²	0.301	0.305	0.299	0.304	0.297	0.305	0.280	0.285
N	79285	79285	53512	53512	38318	38318	58847	58847
State FE	✓		✓		✓		✓	
County FE		✓		✓		✓		✓
Non-Democrat			✓	✓				
Low Channels					✓	✓		

- Individual effects
 - 95% confidence intervals for full sample both fall within [-0.77, 0.60]
 - DK: *Fox*; causes 3% increase in watching Fox 'a lot'; 9% in watching Fox 'a little'
 - Roughly, implies 95% interval of knowledge effects of [-31%,23%] for those who watch a lot
 - And [-9.0%,7.0%] for those who watch a little
 - Both are arguably small
- We are interested in aggregate effects anyway (incorporating spill-overs) - which are obviously even smaller

Results: Other outcomes

	Newspaper		Online News		Follow News	
	Def. 1 (1)	Def. 2 (2)	Def. 1 (3)	Def. 2 (4)	Def. 1 (5)	Def. 2 (6)
2000 only						
<i>Fox_i</i>	-0.132* (0.070)	-0.024** (0.011)	0.014 (0.047)	0.004 (0.010)	-0.024** (0.011)	-0.012 (0.011)
Adjusted <i>R</i> ²	0.185	0.08	0.100	0.116	0.169	0.172
N	29,874	29,912	29,816	29,912	29,744	29,744
Y-mean	3.879	0.793	1.048	0.249	0.700	0.352
2004 only						
<i>Fox_i</i>	0.005 (0.086)	-0.005 (0.014)	0.109** (0.052)	0.020* (0.012)	-0.009 (0.014)	0.021 (0.015)
Adjusted <i>R</i> ²	0.184	0.085	0.093	0.111	0.150	0.150
N	22,900	22,929	22,883	22,929	20,266	20,266
Y-mean	3.917	0.784	0.827	0.226	0.765	0.399
2000, 2004, 2008						
<i>Fox_i</i>	-0.027 (0.042)	-0.011* (0.006)	0.016 (0.026)	0.002 (0.005)	-0.008 (0.006)	0.004 (0.006)
Adjusted <i>R</i> ²	0.191	0.118	0.192	0.188	0.159	0.153
N	79,081	79,285	78,961	79,285	76,322	76,322
Y-mean	3.620	0.732	1.402	0.310	0.771	0.391
County FE						

- FNC access did not have large effects on political knowledge from 2000 to 2008
 - May have increased knowledge in 2004
 - Especially on topics favorable to Republicans (some evidence of this in other years as well).
- FNC access increased knowledge about topics on which it focused attention, and decreased knowledge on other issues.
- Variation across years
 - E.g., FNC may have sparked more consumption of online news in 2004
- Reasons our analysis could understate impact of Fox
 - non-local spillovers
 - influence content of other news
 - non-informative content that still affects voting