## Finding collinear points

The problem: Given a set of $n$ points in the plane, determine if there exist three points that are collinear.

We'll assume that we can check whether any three given points are collinear in $O(1)$ time. We'll come back with details on how to do this next week.

## Brute force

## Algorithm 1 (brute force)

- for all distinct triplets of points $p_{i}, p_{j}, p_{k}$ : if collinear return true
- (if you get here) return false

Questions:

- Argue that the algorithm is correct (can it miss any triplets?).
- What is the (worst-case) running time?
- How much space does it use?


## Via sorting

## Algorithm 2

- initialize array $\mathrm{L}=$ empty
- for all distinct pairs of points $p_{i}, p_{j}$
- compute their line equation (slope, intercept) and add it to an array L
- sort array L by (slope, intercept)
- traverse L and if you find any 3 consecutive identical $(\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{i}) \rightarrow$ collinear

Questions:

- Argue that the algorithm is correct.
- What is the (worst-case) running time?
- How much space does it use?


## With a binary search tree

## Algorithm 3

- initialize BBST = empty
- for all distinct pairs of points $p_{i}, p_{j}$
- compute their line equation (s, i)
- insert (s,i) in BBST; if when inserting you find that ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{i}$ ) is already in the tree, you got three collinear points and return true
- (if you ever get here) return false

Questions:

- Argue that the algorithm is correct.
- What is the (worst-case) running time?
- How much space does it use?
- How does it compare to Algorithm 2?


## With hashing

## Algorithm 4

- initialize HashTable = empty
- for all distinct pairs of points $p_{i}, p_{j}$
- compute their line equation (s, i)
- insert (s,i) in HashTable; if when inserting you find that (s,i) is already in the HT, you got three collinear points and return true
- (if you ever get here) return false

Questions:

- Argue that the algorithm is correc.
- What is the (worst-case) running time?
- How much space does it use?
- Hoes does it compare to Algorithm 3?
- Under what assumption on the input is Algorithm 4 faster than Algorithm 3?


## A different way to sort

## Algorithm 5

- for every point $p_{i}$
- set array $\mathrm{L}=$ empty
- for every point $p_{j}$ (with $p_{j}!=p_{i}$ )
* compute slope of $p_{j}$ wrt to $p_{i}$ and add it to array L
- sort L
- traverse L and if you find two consecutive points that have same slope, they are collinear with $p_{i}$ so return true
- (if you get here) return false

Questions:

- Argue that the algorithm is correct (can it miss any triplets?).
- What is the (worst-case) running time?
- How much space does it use?

