

Amortized Analysis

(CLRS 17.1-17.3)

1 Amortized Analysis

- After discussing algorithm design techniques (Dynamic programming and Greedy algorithms) we now return to data structures and discuss a new analysis method—*Amortized analysis*.
- Until now we have seen a number of data structures and analyzed the worst-case running time of each individual operation.
- Sometimes the cost of an operation vary widely, so that that worst-case running time is not really a good cost measure.
- Similarly, sometimes the cost of every single operation is not so important
 - the total cost of a series of operations are more important (e.g when using priority queue to sort)

↓

- We want to analyze running time of one single operation averaged over a sequence of operations
 - Note: We are not interested in an average case analyses that depends on some input distribution or random choices made by algorithm.
- To capture this we define *amortized time*.

If any sequence of n operations on a data structure takes $\leq T(n)$ time, the amortized time per operation is $T(n)/n$
--

- Equivalently, if the amortized time of one operation is $U(n)$, then any sequence of n operations takes $n \cdot U(n)$ time.
- Again keep in mind: “Average” is over a sequence of operations for *any* sequence
 - *not* average for some input distribution (as in quick-sort)
 - *not* average over random choices made by algorithm (as in skip-lists)

1.1 Example: Stack with MULTIPOP

- As we know, a normal stack is a data structure with operations
 - PUSH: Insert new element at top of stack
 - POP: Delete top element from stack
- A stack can easily be implemented (using linked list) such that PUSH and POP takes $O(1)$ time.
- Consider the addition of another operation:
 - MULTIPOP(k): POP k elements off the stack.
- Analysis of a sequence of n operations:
 - One MULTIPOP can take $O(n)$ time $\Rightarrow O(n^2)$ running time.
 - Amortized running time of each operation is $O(1) \Rightarrow O(n)$ running time.
 - * Each element can be popped at most once each time it is pushed
 - Number of POP operations (including the one done by MULTIPOP) is bounded by n
 - Total cost of n operations is $O(n)$
 - Amortized cost of one operation is $O(n)/n = O(1)$.

1.2 Example: Binary counter

- Consider the following (somewhat artificial) data structure problem: Maintain a binary counter under n INCREMENT operations (assuming that the counter value is initially 0)
 - Data structure consists of an (infinite) array A of bits such that $A[i]$ is either 0 or 1.
 - $A[0]$ is lowest order bit, so value of counter is $x = \sum_{i \geq 0} A[i] \cdot 2^i$
 - INCREMENT operation:

```
A[0] = A[0] + 1
i = 0
WHILE A[i] = 2 DO
    A[i + 1] = A[i + 1] + 1
    A[i] = 0
    i = i + 1
OD
```

- The running time of INCREMENT is the number of iterations of while loop +1.

Example (Note: Bit furthest to the right is $A[0]$):

$$x = 47 \Rightarrow A = \langle 0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1 \rangle$$

$$x = 48 \Rightarrow A = \langle 0, \dots, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0 \rangle$$

$$x = 49 \Rightarrow A = \langle 0, \dots, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1 \rangle$$

INCREMENT from $x = 47$ to $x = 48$ has cost 5

INCREMENT from $x = 48$ to $x = 49$ has cost 1

- Analysis of a sequence of n INCREMENTS
 - Number of bits in representation of n is $\log n \Rightarrow n$ operations cost $O(n \log n)$.
 - Amortized running time of INCREMENT is $O(1) \Rightarrow O(n)$ running time:
 - * $A[0]$ flips on each increment (n times in total)
 - * $A[1]$ flips on every second increment ($n/2$ times in total)
 - * $A[2]$ flips on every fourth increment ($n/4$ times in total)
 - ⋮
 - * $A[i]$ flips on every 2^i th increment ($n/2^i$ times in total)
- \Downarrow
 Total running time: $T(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{\log n} \frac{n}{2^i}$
 $\leq n \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{\log n} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^i$
 $= O(n)$

2 Potential Method

- In the two previous examples we basically just did a careful analysis to get $O(n)$ bounds leading to $O(1)$ amortized bounds.
 - book calls this *aggregate analysis*.
- In aggregate analysis, all operations have the same amortized cost (total cost divided by n)
 - other and more sophisticated amortized analysis methods allow different operations to have different amortized costs.
- *Potential method*:
 - Idea is to *overcharge* some operations and store the overcharge as *credits/potential* which can then help pay for later operations (making them cheaper).
 - Leads to equivalent but slightly different definition of amortized time.
- Consider performing n operations on an initial data structure D_0
 - D_i is data structure after i th operation, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.
 - c_i is actual cost (time) of i th operation, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

\Downarrow

Total cost of n operations is $\sum_{i=0}^n c_k$.
- We define *potential function* mapping D_i to R . ($\Phi : D_i \rightarrow R$)
 - $\Phi(D_i)$ is potential associated with D_i
- We define *amortized cost* \tilde{c}_i of i th operation as $\tilde{c}_i = c_i + \Phi(D_i) - \Phi(D_{i-1})$
 - \tilde{c}_i is sum of real cost and *increase* in potential

\Downarrow

 - If potential decreases the amortized cost is lower than actual cost (we use saved potential/credits)
 - If potential increases the amortized cost is larger than actual cost (we overcharge operation to save potential/credits).

- Key is that, as previously, we can bound total cost of all the n operations by the total amortized cost of all n operations:

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=1}^n c_k &= \sum_{i=1}^n (\tilde{c}_i + \Phi(D_{i-1}) - \Phi(D_i)) \\ &= \Phi(D_0) - \Phi(D_n) + \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{c}_i\end{aligned}$$

↓

$$\sum_{i=1}^n c_k \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{c}_i \text{ if } \Phi(D_0) = 0 \text{ and } \Phi(D_i) \geq 0 \text{ for all } i \text{ (or even if just } \Phi(D_n) \geq \Phi(D_0))$$

Note: Amortized time definition consistent with earlier definition $\frac{1}{n} \sum c_i = \frac{1}{n} \sum \tilde{c}_i$. \tilde{c}_i equal for all $i \Rightarrow \tilde{c}_i = \frac{1}{n} \sum c_i$

2.1 Example: Stack with multipop

- Define $\Phi(D_i)$ to be the size of stack $D_i \Rightarrow \Phi(D_0) = 0$ and $\Phi(D_i) \geq 0$
- Amortized costs:

– PUSH:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{c}_i &= c_i + \Phi(D_i) - \Phi(D_{i-1}) \\ &= 1 + 1 \\ &= 2 \\ &= O(1).\end{aligned}$$

– POP:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{c}_i &= c_i + \Phi(D_i) - \Phi(D_{i-1}) \\ &= 1 + (-1) \\ &= 0 \\ &= O(1).\end{aligned}$$

– MULTIPOP(k):

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{c}_i &= c_i + \Phi(D_i) - \Phi(D_{i-1}) \\ &= k + (-k) \\ &= 0 \\ &= O(1).\end{aligned}$$

- Total cost of n operations: $\sum_{i=1}^n c_k \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{c}_i = O(n)$.

2.2 Example: Binary counter

- Define $\Phi(D_i) = \sum_{i \geq 0} A[i] \Rightarrow \Phi(D_0) = 0$ and $\Phi(D_i) \geq 0$

– $\Phi(D_i)$ is the number of ones in counter.

- Amortized cost of i th operation: $\tilde{c}_i = c_i + \Phi(D_i) - \Phi(D_{i-1})$

– Consider the case where first k positions in A are 1 $A = \langle 0, 0, \dots, 1, 1, 1, 1, \dots, 1 \rangle$

– In this case $c_i = k + 1$

– $\Phi(D_i) - \Phi(D_{i-1})$ is $-k + 1$ since the first k positions of A are 0 after the increment and the $k + 1$ th position is changed to 1 (all other positions are unchanged)

↓

– $\tilde{c}_i = k + 1 - k + 1 = 2 = O(1)$

- Total cost of n increments: $\sum_{i=1}^n c_k \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{c}_i = O(n)$.

2.3 Notes on amortized cost

- Amortized cost depends on choice of Φ
- Different operations can have different amortized costs.
- Often we think about potential/credits as being distributed on certain parts of data structure.

In multipop example:

- Every element holds one credit.
- PUSH: Pay for operation (cost 1) and for placing one credit on new element (cost 1).
- POP: Use credit of removed element to pay for the operation.
- MULTIPOP: Use credits on removed elements to pay for the operation.

In counter example:

- Every 1 in A holds one credit.
- Change from 1 \rightarrow 0 payed using credit.
- Change from 0 \rightarrow 1 payed by INCREMENT; pay one credit to do the flip and place one credit on new 1.

\Downarrow

INCREMENT cost $O(1)$ amortized (at most one 0 \rightarrow 1 change).

- Book calls this the *accounting method*
 - Note: Credits only used for analysis and is not part of data structure
- Hard part of amortized analysis is often to come up with potential function Φ
 - Some people prefer using potential function (*potential method*), some prefer thinking about placing credits on data structure (*Accounting method*)
 - Accounting method often good for relatively easy examples.
- Amortized analysis defined in late '80-ies \Rightarrow great progress (new structures!)
- Next time we will discuss an elegant “self-adjusting” search tree data structure with amortized $O(\log n)$ bonds for all operations (*splay trees*).