# Lecture 10: Binary Search Trees. Skip Lists. 

(CLRS 10, 12.1-12.3)

May 29th, 2002

## 1 Maintaining ordered set dynamically

- We want to maintain an ordered set $S$ under operations
- $\operatorname{SEARch}(e):$ Return (pointer to) element $e$ in $S$ (if $e \in S$ )
- Insert(e): Insert element $e$ in $S$
- Delete(e): Delete element $e$ from $S$
- Successor(e): Return (pointer to) minimal element in $S$ larger than $e$
- Predecessor $(e)$ : Return (pointer to) maximal element in $S$ smaller than $e$


### 1.1 Ordered array implementation

- The first implementation that comes to mind is the ordered array:

$$
\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline 1 & 3 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 11 & 12 & 15 & 17 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

- Search can be performed in $O(n)$ time by scanning through array or in $O(\log n)$ time using binary search
- Predecessor/Successor can be performed in $O(\log n)$ time like searching
- Insert/Delete takes $O(n)$ time since we need to expand/compress the array after finding the position of $e$


### 1.2 Double linked list implementation

- Unordered list
- Search takes $O(n)$ time since we have to scan the list
- Predecessor/Successor takes $O(n)$ time
- Insert takes $O(1)$ time since we can just insert $e$ at beginning of list
- Delete takes $O(n)$ time since we have to perform a search before spending $O(1)$ time on deletion
- Ordered list
- Search takes $O(n)$ time since we cannot perform binary search
- Predecessor/Successor takes $O(n)$ time
- Insert/Delete takes $O(n)$ time since we have to perform a search to locate the position of insertion/deletion


### 1.3 Binary search tree implementation

- Binary search naturally leads to definition of binary search tree

- Formal definition of search tree:
- Binary tree with elements in nodes
- If node $v$ holds element $e$ then
* All elements in left subtree $<e$
* All elements in right subtree $>e$

- Search(e) in $O$ (height): Compare with $e$ and recursively search in left or right subtree
- Insert(e) in $O$ (height): Search for $e$ and insert at place where search path terminates (Note: height may increase)
Example: Insertion of 13

- Delete $(e)$ in $O$ (height): Search for node $v$ containing $e$,

1. $v$ is a leaf: Delete $v$
2. $v$ is internal node with one child: Delete $v$ and attach child $(v)$ to parent $(v)$

Example: Delete 7

3. $v$ is internal node with two children:

* exchange $e$ in $v$ with successor $e^{\prime}$ in node $v^{\prime}$ (minimal element in right subtree, found by following left branches as long as possible in right subtree)
* $v^{\prime}$ node can be deleted by case 1 or 2

Example: Delete 12


- Note:
- Running time of all operations depend on height of tree.
- Intuitively the tree will be nicely balanced if we do insertion and deletion randomly.
- In worst case the height can be $O(n)$.


## 2 Skip lists

- There are several schemes for keeping search trees reasonably balanced and obtain $O(\log n)$ bounds
- Often quite complicated-We will discuss one way (red-black trees) later.
- When we discussed Quick-sort we saw how randomization can lead to good expected running times.
- We will now discuss how randomization can be used to obtain a very simple search structure with expected case performance $O(\log n)$ (independent of data/operations!)
- Idea in a skip list is best illustrated if we try to build a "search tree" on top of double linked list:
- Insert elements $-\infty$ and $\infty$
- Repeatedly construct double linked list (level $S_{i}$ ) on top of current list (level $S_{i-1}$ ) by choosing every second element (and link equal elements together) $\Downarrow$
- Number of levels is $O(\log n)$

- Search(e): Start at topmost left element. Repeatedly drop down one level and search forward until max element $\leq e$ is found.

Example: Search for 8

$O(\log n)$ time since we move at most one step to the right at each level.

- Predecessor/Successor also in $O(\log n)$ time
- Insert/Delete seems hard to do in better than $O(n)$ time since we might need to rebuild the entire structure after one of the operations.
- Idea in skip list is to let level $S_{i}$ consist of a randomly generated subset of elements at level $S_{i-1}$.
- To decide if an element on level $S_{i-1}$ should be on level $S_{i}$, we flip a coin and include the element if it is head.
$\Downarrow$
Expected size of $S_{1}$ is $\frac{n}{2}$
Expected size of $S_{2}$ is $\frac{n}{4}$
$\vdots$
Expected size of $S_{i}$ is $\frac{n}{2^{i}}$
$\Downarrow$
Expected height is $O(\log n)$
- Operations:
- Search(e) as before.
- Delete(e): Search to find $e$ and delete all occurrences of $e$.
- Insert(e):
* search to find position of $e$ in $S_{0}$
* Insert e in $S_{0}$.
* Repeatedly flip a coin; insert $e$ and continue to next level if it comes up head.
- Running time of all the operations is bounded by search running time
- Down search takes $O($ height $)=O(\log n)$ expected.
- Right search/scan:
* If we scan an element on level $i$ it cannot be on level $i+1$ (because then we would have scanned it there) $\Downarrow$
* Expected number of elements we scan on level $i$ is the expected number of times we have to flip a coin to get head $\Downarrow$
* We expect to scan 2 elements on level $i$ $\Downarrow$
* Running time is $O($ height $)=O(\log n)$ expected.
- Note:
- We only really need forward and down pointers.
- Expected space use is $\sum_{i=0}^{\log n} \frac{n}{2^{i}} \leq n \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{i}}=O(n)$.

