CPS 130 Homework 6 - Solutions

1. (CLRS 7.4-5) The running time of QUICKSORT can be improved in practice by taking advantage of the fast running time of INSERTION-SORT when its input is "nearly" sorted. When QUICKSORT is called on a subarray with fewer than k elements, let it simply return without sorting the subarray. After the top-level call to QUICKSORT returns, run INSERTION-SORT on the entire array to finish the sorting process. Argue that this sorting algorithm runs in $O(nk + n \lg(n/k))$ expected time. How should k be picked, both in theory and in practice?

Answer: A complete proof would be similar with the proof of the average case running time of QUICKSORT (CLR section 7.4.2).

The main idea is to note that the recursion stops when $\frac{n}{2^i} = k$, that is $i = \log_2 \frac{n}{k}$. The recursion takes in total $O(n \cdot \lg \frac{n}{k})$. The resulting array is composed of k subarrays of size n/k, where the elements in each subarray are all less than all the subarrays following it. Running INSERTION-SORT on the entire array is thus equivalent to sorting each of the $\frac{n}{k}$ subarrays of size k, which takes on the average $\frac{n}{k} \cdot O(k^2) = O(nk)$ (the expected running time of INSERTION-SORT is $O(n^2)$).

If k is chosen too big, then the O(nk) cost of insertion becomes bigger than $\Theta(n \lg n)$. Therefore k must be $O(\lg n)$. Furthermore it must be that $O(nk + n \lg \frac{n}{k}) = O(n \lg n)$. If the constant factors in the big-oh notation are ignored, than it follows that k should be such that $k < \lg k$ which is impossible (unless k = 1) - the error comes from ignoring the constant factors. Let c_1 be the constant factor in quicksort, and c_2 be the constant factor in insertion sort. Than k must be chosen such that $c_2k + c_1 \lg \frac{n}{k} < c_1 \lg n$ which requires $c_1k < c_2 \lg k$. In practice these constants cannot be ignored (also there can be lower order terms in $O(n \lg n)$) and k should be chosen experimentally.

2. (CLRS 7-3) Professors Dewey, Cheatham, and Howe have proposed the following "elegant" sorting algorithm:

STOOGE-SORT(A, i, j)if A[i] > A[j]then exchange $A[i] \leftrightarrow A[j]$ if $i + 1 \ge j$ then return $k \leftarrow \lfloor (j - i + 1)/3 \rfloor$ STOOGE-SORT(A, i, j - k)STOOGE-SORT(A, i, j - k)

a. Argue that STOOGE-SORT(A, 1, length[A]) correctly sorts the input array A[1..n], where n = length[A].

Solution: By induction:

For the base case let n = 2. The first two lines of the algorithm will check if the two elements are sorted; if not, it exchanges them (and now they are sorted). The algorithm returns after the following if statement. Thus STOOGE-SORT sorts correctly for n = 2.

Assume STOOGE-SORT correctly sorts an input array A[1..k], where k = length[A]and $1 \leq k < n$. In particular, STOOGE-SORT correctly sorts an input array of size k = 2n/3 (you may also assume STOOGE-SORT sorts correctly for 1 < k = 2n/3). Let A[1..n] be an input array of size n = length[A]. By the induction hypothesis the first call to STOOGE-SORT(A, i, j - k) correctly sorts the first 2n/3 elements, so that the elements $1 \dots n/3$ are less than elements $(n + 1)/3 \dots 2n/3$. The call to STOOGE-SORT(A, i, j - k) correctly sorts the last 2n/3 elements, so that the elements $(n + 1)/3 \dots 2n/3$ are less than elements $2(n + 1)/3 \dots n$, which are the largest n/3 elements in A. The last call to STOOGE-SORT(A, i, j - k) sorts correctly (by induction hypothesis) the sorted elements are less than elements $2(n+1)/3 \dots n$. Thus the array A of size n is sorted.

b. Give a recurrence for the worst-case running time of STOOGE-SORT and a tight asymptotic (Θ -notation) bound on the worst-case running time. **Solution:**

$$T(n) = 3T(\frac{2n}{3}) + \Theta(1) = \Theta(n^{\log_{3/2} 3}) = \Theta(n^{2.7...}).$$

c. Compare the worst-case running time of STOOGE-SORT with that of INSERTION-SORT, MERGE-SORT, HEAPSORT, and QUICKSORT. Do the professors deserve tenure?

Solution: STOOGE-SORT is the worst of all the algorithms – the professors do not deserve tenure.

INSERTION-SORT:
$$\Theta(n^2)$$

MERGE-SORT: $\Theta(n \lg n)$
HEAPSORT: $\Theta(n \lg n)$
QUICKSORT: $\Theta(n^2)$
STOOGE-SORT: $\Theta(n^{2.7...})$